

Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed May 25, 2004.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al., U.S. Patent 5,887,133. Claims 2, 4 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al., as applied to claims 1 and 3 above and in view of prior art.

Independent claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 now state that the number of columns is reduced in the new rendition for the specific class of devices. Such a limitation is not shown, suggested or given motivation for in any of the cited prior art references.

For example, Brown does not show, suggest or give a motivation for reducing the number of columns in a new rendition. For this reason, the independent claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 are believed to be allowable. Claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 are dependent upon these independent claims and for that reason are believed to be allowable. Claims 1-12 remain in the present application and for the above discussed reasons are believed to be allowable.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 24, 2004

By: Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone: (415) 362-3800